THE CHARACTERISTIC FACTORSOF PATIENTS WITH PERITONSILLAR ABSCESS IN SANGLAH HOSPITAL DENPASAR AT 2010 UNTIL 2014

Ni Luh Sartika Sari, IDG Arta Eka Putra 1, NS Budayanti 2

¹Departement of Otorhinolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery, Udayana University Medical School/Sanglah Hospital, Denpasar

²Departement of Microbiology, UdayanaUniversity Medical School/Sanglah Hospital, Denpasar

ABSTRACT

Background: Peritonsillar abscesses (PTAs) are collections of purulentmaterial that usually develop outside the tonsillar capsulenear the superior pole. Peritonsillar abscess (PTA) is the most common complication of tonsil infections in adults. Aims: To know the characteristic factors of patients with peritonsillar abscess in Sanglah Hospital Denpasar at period 2010-2014. Methods: This is an observational retrospective study using medical records of patients with peritonsillar abscess in Sanglah Hospital Denpasar during January 2010 until December 2014. Results: 28 patients with peritonsillar abscess were male 64.29%, mean of age 38.29 years, 71.43% with sore throat, 100% unilateral, most common aerob culture detected Streptococcus viridans (57.13%) that 100% sensitive to cefuroxime, cefepime, meropenem and linezolid. The most length of hospital stay was 4 days (60.71%) with average 4.3 days, mostly found without difficulting factors and there is no complication in this study. Conclusion: This study revealed male more frequent than female, with sore throat is the frequent symptoms, mostly unilateral and Streptococcus viridans is the most bacteria in microbiological culture and 100% sensitive to cefuroxime, cefepime, meropenem and linezolid. There is no difficulting factors and complication in this study.

Keywords: peritonsillar absces, microbiological culture, Streptococcus.

INTRODUCTION

Peritonsillar abscesses (PTA) are acute infection followed by collections of purulentmaterial in loose connective tissue between faryngeal constrictor muscle with tonsil at fossa tonsil.^{1,2} This infection penetratetonsillar capsule near superior pole. Peritonsillar abscess (PTA) is the most common complication of acute tonsilitis.^{3,4}

Peritonsillar abscess (PTA) is one of the head and neckinfections often in adults. The onset of peritonsillar abscess starting from superficial infections and progressively developing into tonsillar cellulitis. Peritonsillar abscess complications that may occur include expansion into parapharing infection, mediastinitis, dehydration, pneumonia, intracranial infections such as cavernous sinus thrombosis, meningitis, brain abscess and airway obstruction.³

The clinical symptoms such as sore throat, localized pain, high fever, weakness and nausea.

The other symptoms are halitosis, vomiting, pain over the ears or otalgia and trismus.^{5,6}

There is no data onshown patients with peritonsillarabscesses in Sanglah General Hospital at Denpasar. The authors are interested to research on the characteristics picture of patients with peritonsillar abscesses in Sanglah General Hospital at period January 2010 to December 2014. This results are expected for evidence information of peritonsillar abscessthat could be implemented in management of PTA properly and optimally.

METHODS

The study was observational retrospective by using the medical records of patients with peritonsillar abscess who came to ENT Departement and the laboratory results from Microbiology Departement Sanglah General Hospital. We use concecutive sampling for patients with peritonsillar abscess between January 2010 and December 2014. The incomplete

medical records will exclude in this study. Further data were tabulated and presented descriptively in tables and narrative.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A total of 28 patients with peritonsillarabscesat period January 2010 to December 2014 were included in this study with a ratio of 18 males (64.29%) and 10 females (35.71%) (**Table. 1**).

Table1.The characteristic of patients with peritonsillar abscessbased on sex.

Sex	n (%)
Male	18 (64.29)
Female	10 (35.71)
Total	28 (100)

In United Statesthe incidence is 1/6500 of the population or 30.1/40000 people per year. 7Salihoglu *et all.*, reports from a total of 26 patients with peritonsillar abscess 92.4% males with an average age was 22.2 years old. 4 Ozbek *et all.*, reports from total 62 patients with peritonsillar abscess the ratiois 25(40.33%) females and 37 (59.67%) males with average age was 27.9 years old. 9 Naik and Naik reports from total 27 patients with peritonsillar abscess the ratiois 18 (66.67%) males and 9 (33.33%) females in average age was 30.4 years old. 10 In this study, the male in patients with abscesses peritonsil is higher than female same as Salihoglu *et all.*, Ozbek *et all.*, Naik and Naik studies.

The characteristics of patients with PTA is highest in group of age 41-50 year which 10 patients (35.71%). The lowestcharacteristics by group of age is above 60 years which 1 patients (3.58%) (Table 2). The average age in this study was 38.29 years which is higher than Salihoglu et all., Ozbek et all., Naik and Naik studies. Peritonsillar abscess often seems in adults at the age of 20 to 40 years. There is rare in children, except with immunocompromise. In Northern Ireland, author reports 1 per 10.000 patients per year, with an average age of 26.4 years old.8Salihoglu et all., reportsthe average age was 22.2 years old.4 Ozbek et all., reports the average age was 27.9 years old.9 Naik and Naik reports the average age was 30.4 years old.10

Table2.The characteristic of patients with peritonsillar abscess based on age

Age (years)	n (%)
11-20	3 (10.71)
21-30	4 (14.29)
31-40	7 (25)
41-50	10 (35.71)
51-60	3 (10.71)
>60	1 (3.58)
Total	28 (100)

Sore throat is the most major complaint about 20 patients (71.43%) in this study. While the lowest is dysphagia about 8 patients (28.57%) anamnesemostly 3). In obtained progressivesore throat despite untreated, localized pain, high fever, weakness and nausea. Odinophagia can be a prominent complaint and the patient may difficult when eat and even swallowing.^{11,12}Frequently hypersalivationas a result can not cope salivary secretions and the saliva often dripping out. Other complaints are halitosis, vomiting until refered pain over to the ear (otalgia). Trismus occurs when the infection extends the pterigoidiusmuscles.12 Kara and Spinou reports sore throat are common complaints in patients with peritonsillarabscess that is equal to 92%.13Sore throat in our study is the mostmajor complaintperitonsillar abscess similar with Kara and Spinou study.

Table3.The characteristic of patients with peritonsillar abscess based on major complaint.

Chief complaint	n (%)
Sore throat	20 (71.43)
Dysphagia	8 (28.57)
Total	28 (100)

Most patients with peritonsillarabscessoccur unilateral 100% in this study (**Table 4**). This results shown a higher quantity than Papacharalampous *et all.*, and Edinger*et all.*, case studies.Physical examination of the tonsilfound unilateralswelling, because rarely both tonsils are infected at the same time. If both are infected when the oposite tonsil become swelling after the infected tonsil getting better. When swelling occurs simultaneously, the symptoms of sleep apnea and airway obstruction will be worsed.¹² Papacharalampous *et all.*, reports a case of

bilateral peritonsillar abscess in 19 years female. Naik and Naik and Wang et all., reports there were no differences site of locationinperitonsillar abscess. Edinger et all., reports a case of bilateral peritonsillar abscess in 24 years female.

Table4.The characteristic of patients with peritonsillar abscess based on site of location.

Location	n (%)
Unilateral Bilateral	28 (100) 0 (0)
Total	28 (100)

Based on microbiological Streptococcus viridansis highestabout 16 patients (57.13%), while Streptococcus pyrogens and Enterococcus sp is the lowest about 1 patient (3.58%). Twenty five percent shows no growth of in microbiology culture (Table 5). This results is supported by Sakae et all., and Repanos et all., studies which are Streptococcus viridans is highest with peritonsillar in patients abscess.Microbiological culture was done to growing population of aerobic bacteria as much as the mix of flora that involves microorganisms Gram-negative and Gram-positive. Some studies reportsStreptococcus viridans is the most common cause of peritonsillar abscess, followed by Streptococcus Streptococcus pyogenes. *sp*and anaerobic bacteria have been identified as causative agents.6,16Sakae et all..found 32% Streptococcus viridansin peritonsillar abscess culture in Brazil. 17Repanos et all., reports 43.7% Streptococcus sp in peritonsillar abscess culture. 18

Table 5.The characteristic of patients with peritonsillar abscess based on microbiology culture.

Microbiology culture	n (%)	
Streptococcus viridans	16 (57.13)	
Streptococcus pyrogens	1 (3.58)	
Klebsiella Pneumonia	3 (10.71)	
Enterococcus sp	1 (3.58)	
No growth	7 (25)	
Total	28 (100)	

The characteristics of patients peritonsillarabscessis highest obtain for 4 days about 17 patients (60.71%) in this study. Only 1 patient (3.58%) was treated for 6 days (Table 6). In our study, the length of hospital stay inpatientwith peritonsillar abscess is longer thanRepanos et all., Wang et all., Kara and Spinou, Naik and Naik studies. The average length of treatment peritonsillar abscess obtained in this study is 4.3 days.Repanos et all., reports an average to treat patient with peritonsillar abscess requires for 2.4 days inpatient.¹⁸ Naik and Naik reports the average for hospital stay is 3.5 days. 10 Kara and Spinou reports the average for hospital stay is 2.2 days.¹³ Wang et all., reports the average for hospital stay is 4.2 days. 14

Table 6.The characteristic of patients with peritonsillar abscess based on lengt of hospital stay.

Length of stay (days)	n (%)
3	2 (7.14)
4	17 (60.71)
5	8 (28.57)
6	1 (3.58)
Total	28 (100)

Streptococcus viridans was obtained the most sensitive to the antibiotic cefuroxime, cefepime, meropemen, and linezolid respectively by 100% in this study. Streptococcus viridansmostly resistance to the antibiotic ampicillin established at 62.5% (Table Repanos et all., reports 0.84% Streptococcus sp resistance to the antibiotic group penisilin.18The resistancy of Streptococcus viridansto ampicillin is higher in our study than Repanos et all., studies. Takenaka et all., in their study reports all Gram-positive bacteria sensitive the antibiotic penicillin group cephalosporin.¹⁹ Sowerby et all., reports 32% Streptococcus spresistance to clindamycin and 41% resistant to eritomisin.²⁰However, in our study the resistancyof Streptococcus viridansto clindamycin erythromycin low.Some authors was suggested second or third generation cephalosporins administration compared with group penisilin.6

Table 7. The resistancy pattern for S	Streptococcus viridansin peritonsillar abscess.
--	---

Type of antibiotic	Nameof antibiotic		n=16 (%)	
		S	I	R
Ampicillin	Ampicillin	6(37.5)	0(0)	10(62.5)
	Amoxicillin/clavulani	6(37.5)	1(6.25)	9(56.25)
	c acid			
Cephalosporin	Cephalothin	15(93.75)	0(0)	1(6.25)
	Cefuroxime	16(100)	0(0)	0(0)
	Cefotaxime	15(93.75)	0(0)	1(6.25)
	Cefepime	16(100)	0(0)	0(0)
Carbapenem	Imipenem	15(93.75)	1(6.25)	0(0)
	Meropenem	16(100)	0(0)	0(0)
Glycopeptide	Vancomycin	15(93.75)	0(0)	1(6.25)
Macrolide	Erythromycin	9(56.25)	2(12.5)	5(31.25)
Tetracycline	Tetracycline	5(31.25)	2(12.5)	9(56.25)
Lincosamide	Clindamycin	8(50)	4(25)	4(25)
Oxazolidinones	Linezolid	16(100)	0(0)	0(0)
Aminoglycoside	Amikacin	8(50)	2(12.5)	6(37.5)
	Gentamicin	8(50)	1(6.25)	7(43.75)
Fluoroquinolone	Ciprofloxacin	9(56.25)	0(0)	7(43.75)
_	Levofloxacin	13(81.25)	0(0)	3(18.75)

Twenty patients (71.42%) in this study without difficulting factors. Trismus shown in 7 patients (25%). This results shown lower than Salihoglu *et all.*, studies. Only one patient (3.58%) found with diabetes mellitus (**Table 8**), which is higher in Wang *et all.*, studies. Several difficulting factors that affect peritonsillarabscess including trismus and systemic diseases, especially diabetes melitus.²¹ Wang *et all.*, reports 3.5% of patients with peritonsillarabscessfound with diabetes mellitus.¹⁴ Salihoglu *et all.*, reports 88.46% of patients with peritonsillarabscessaccompanied by trismus.⁴

Table 8.The characteristic of patients with peritonsillar abscess based on difficulting factors.

Difficulting factor	n (%)
Trismus	7 (25)
Diabetes mellitus	1 (3.58)
None	20 (71.42)
Total	28 (100)

A total 28 patients in this study found without any complications. In our study, we found without any complications same as in Ozbek *et all.*, studies.Immediate complications can occur in the form of dehydration due to less food intake.

Spontaneous rupture of the abscess with blood or pus aspiration pneumonitis or abscess can cause infection to the pulmonary area.^{1,8,22} Expansion to parapharing, may result in an abscess parapharing, spreading into the mediastinum may result in mediastinitis.²² Ozbek *et all.*, in their studies did not obtained any complications of peritonsillarabscess such as abscess in the deep neck spaces or mediastinis.²³

CONCLUSION

A total 28 patients with peritonsillar abscess who came into Sanglah General Hospital between January 2010 and December 2014 were predominant male. The mean of age was 38.29 years old. Sore throat is the most symptoms when came into the hospital. Mostly cases occur are unilateral. The most common microbiological culture was *Streptococcus viridans*. The most length of hospital stay was 4 days with average 4.3 days. In this study, mostly found without difficulting factors and there is no any complication occurs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank my Otorinolaringologist doctor IDG Arta Eka Putra, and my Clinical Microbiologist doctor NS Budayanti for allowing me to report and correcting the manuscript of these research. Also, i would like to thank to Head of Departement of Clincal Microbiology at Sanglah General Hospital

for allowing me using the data of laboratory results in this research.

REFERENCES

- 1. Segal N, Sabri SE. Peritonsillar abscess in children in the southern district of Israel. Int Journal of Ped Otol. 2009;73:1148-50.
- 2. Papacharalampous GX, Vlastarakos PV, Kotsis G, Davilis D, Manolopoulos L. Bilateral peritonsillar abscess: A case presentation and review of the current literature with regard to the controversies in diagnosis and treatment. Case Reports in Medicine. 2011;1-4.
- 3. Shah KU, Meyers, DA. Tonsilitis and peritonsillar abscess. Accesed on November 18th, 2014. Downloaded from URL: http://emedicine.
 medscape.com/article/871977/overview.
- 4. Salihoglu M, Eroglu M, Yildirim AO, Cakmak A, Hardal U, Kara K. Transoral ultrasonography in the diagnosis and treatment of peritonsillar abscess. Clinical Imaging. 2013;37:465-7.
- 5. Ming CF. Efficacy of three theraupetic methodsfor peritonsillar abscess. Journal of Chinese Clinical Medicine. 2006;2:108-11.
- 6. Steyer TE. Peritonsillar abscess: Diagnosis and treatment. Am Fam Physician. 2002;65(1):93-7.
- 7. Hanna B, Ronan MM. The epidemiology of peritonsillar abscess in northern Ireland. Journal of Infection. 2006;52:247-53.
- 8. Marom T, Cinamon U. Changing trends of peritonsillar abscess. Am J of Otol HNS. 2010;31:162-7.
- 9. Ozbek C, Aygenc E, Tuna EU, Selcuk A, Ozdem C. Use of steroids in the treatment of peritonsillar abscess. The Journal of Laryngology & Otology. 2004;118:439-42.
- 10. Naik SM, Naik SS. Interval tonsillectomy: 27 Cases of peritonsilar abscess managed in Medical College Hospital. Indian Journal of Clinical Practice. 2013;24(4):342-6.
- Gadre AK, Gadre KC. Infections of the deep space of the neck. In: Bailey BJ, Johnson JT, Newlands SD, editors. Head and Neck Surgery-Otolaryngology. 4th ed. Chicago: Lippincot William & Wilkins; 2006. p.666-81.
- 12. Ming CF. Effycacy of three theraupetic methodsfor peritonsillar abscess. Journal of ChineseClinical Medicine. 2006;2:108-11.
- 13. Kara N, Spinou C. Appropriate antibiotics for peritonsillar abscess: A 9 months cohort. Otorhinolaryngologia-Head and Neck Surgery. 2010;40:20-4.

- 14. Wang YP, Wang MC, Lin HC, Chou P. The impact of prior tonsillitis and treatment modality on the recurrence of peritonsillar abscess: A nationwide cohort study. Recurrence of Peritonsillar Abscess in a Nationwide Cohort Study. 2014;9:1-7.
- 15. Edinger JT, Hilal EY, Dastur KJ. Bilateral peritonsillar abscesses: A challenging diagnosis. Ear, Nose & Throat Journal. 2007;86(3):162-3.
- 16. Megalamani SB, Suria G. Changing trends in bacteriology of peritonsillar abscess. Journal of Laryngol & Otol. 2008;122:928-30.
- 17. Sakae FA, Imamura R, Sennes LU, Filbo BCA, Tsuji DH. Microbiology of peritonsillar abscess. Rev Bras Otorinolaringol. 2006;72(2):247-51.
- 18. Repanos C, Mukherjee P, Alwahab Y. Role of microbiological studies in management of peritonsillar abscess.The Journal of Laryngology & Otology. 2009;123:877-9.
- 19. Takenaka Y, Takeda K, Yoshii T, Hashimoto M, Inohara H. Gram staining for the treatment of peritonsillar abscess. International Journal of Otolaryngology. 2012:1-5.
- Sowerby LJ, Hussain Z, Husein M. The Epidemiology, antibiotic resistance and postdischarge course of peritosillar abscesses in London, Ontario. Journal of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery. 2013;42(5):1-7.
- 21. Badran KH, Karkos PD. Aspiration of peritonsillarabscess in severe trismus. Journal of Laryngol &Otol. 2006;120:492-4.
- 22. Losanoff JE, Missavage AE. Neglected peritonsillar abscess resulting in necrotizing soft tissue infection of the neck and chest wall. Int J ClinPract. 2005;59:1476-8.
- 23. Ozbek C, Aygenc E, Unsal E, Ozdem C. Peritonsillar abscess: A comparison of outpatient IM clindamycin and inpatient IV ampicillin/sulbactam following needle aspiration. Ear, Nose & Throat Journal. 2005;86(6):366-8.

